International Journal of
Science, Architecture, Technology and Environment

A Review on Pesticide Milk Product Analysis Concepts

Nuttu Venkatesh[1], Vela Bhargavi[1], Shaik Zaibia Firdose[1], Nagam Sushma Ragini[1], M Vinod Kumar[1], Gude Rajesh Kumar[2], Averineni Ravi Kumar[3*]

[1]*Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Narayana Pharmacy College Chintareddypalem Nellore 524002 AP INDIA*

[2]*Department of Pharmaceutics, VRC Gowtham Eductional Society's, Vijaya College of Pharmacy, Mudinepalli 521325 AP INDIA*

[3]*Department of Pharmacognosy, Nimra College of Pharmacy Vijayawda 521456 AP INDIA*

[*]*Corresponding author, karavi315@gmail.com*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.63680/ijsate1025023.13

Abstract

Monitoring pesticide residues in milk products is vital for maintaining food safety and protecting consumer health. Due to the complex composition of milk, which contains fats, proteins, and other components, it demands precise sample preparation alongside highly sensitive and accurate analytical techniques to detect pesticide residues at very low concentrations. Techniques such as GC-MS/MS and LC-MS/MS are widely recognized as the most effective for their high sensitivity, specificity, and capability to analyze multiple pesticide residues simultaneously. Additionally, screening methods like ELISA and HPTLC offer quick and economical options for routine monitoring. Ensuring the reliability of results depends heavily on rigorous quality control and comprehensive method validation. Key factors include verifying the method's selectivity, linearity, accuracy, precision, and sensitivity while addressing potential matrix interferences and confirming the robustness of the procedure. Ongoing monitoring is essential given the health risks associated with long-term exposure to pesticides, such as hormonal imbalances, neurological effects, and cancer risks. Strict adherence to regulatory guidelines, combined with best practices in farming and animal care, helps minimize the presence of these contaminants. In summary, thorough pesticide residue analysis in milk is crucial for consumer protection, regulatory adherence, and sustaining the safety and quality of the dairy industry.

Keywords: Milk Products, Analysis, Pesticides, Regulatory Guidelines, Dairy Industry Quality

INTRODUCTION

Milk is a fundamental part of the human diet, especially for children, the elderly, and individuals with special nutritional needs. However, its widespread consumption makes it critical to monitor for contaminants — notably, **pesticide residues**. These chemical residues can enter the milk supply at multiple points in the production chain, raising concerns about food safety and long-term health effects.

1. Primary Sources of Pesticide Contamination in Milk

a. Contaminated Animal Feed

Pesticides applied to crops like maize, grass, or hay can remain as residues in the harvested feed. When dairy animals consume this fodder, pesticide compounds can accumulate in their fatty tissues and eventually be excreted in milk.

b. Contaminated Water Sources

If pesticides leach into water bodies, they may contaminate drinking or cleaning water used on dairy farms, thus indirectly affecting milk quality.

c. Direct Application on Animals

Pesticides used to treat external parasites on livestock (e.g., tick or flea control chemicals) can be absorbed systemically and secreted through milk.

Pesticide Contamination in Milk: Routes of Exposure

a. Contamination through Animal Feed

Pesticides are widely used to protect crops like maize, grass, and hay from pests. However, residues of these chemicals often remain on the harvested fodder. When dairy animals consume this contaminated feed:

- **Absorption:** Fat-soluble pesticide residues are absorbed in the digestive tract.
- **Accumulation:** These residues build up in the animal's fatty tissues due to their affinity for fats.
- **Excretion in Milk:** Because milk contains fat, these pesticides can be released from body fat into the milk, causing contamination.

Health Concerns:

- Long-term intake of such contaminated milk may pose health risks including hormonal imbalances and potential cancer risks.
- It compromises milk safety and breaches regulatory standards.

b. Contamination via Water Sources

Pesticides may enter water supplies through:

- Runoff from treated agricultural fields
- Percolation through soil into groundwater
- Drift during pesticide spraying

This contaminated water can be used on farms for:

- Drinking water for livestock

- Cleaning udders and milking equipment
- Washing animal housing areas

Consequences include:

- Animals ingest pesticide-tainted water, leading to accumulation in their bodies similar to contaminated feed.
- Pesticide residues may remain on the udder or milking utensils, potentially mixing with milk if not properly cleaned.

Risks:

- Prolonged exposure affects not only milk safety but also the animals' reproductive and immune health.

c. Direct Application of Pesticides on Livestock

To control external parasites like ticks, lice, fleas, and mites, pesticides are often directly applied to dairy animals via:

- Pour-on formulations
- Sprays
- Dips
- Spot treatments

These pesticides may:

- Penetrate the skin and enter the bloodstream
- Accumulate in fatty tissues and subsequently be secreted into milk
- Leave residues on the skin surface, which can contaminate milk during milking if not removed properly

Risks:

- Milk contamination can last for several days or weeks depending on the pesticide's persistence.
- Failure to observe proper withdrawal times violates food safety regulations and poses health hazards.

Preventive Strategies

- Use only authorized pesticides with established safety profiles and strictly follow withdrawal periods.
- Regularly test feed for pesticide residues before feeding animals.
- Source clean and uncontaminated water and perform periodic water quality testing.
- Apply pesticides correctly, adhering to recommended doses and treatment intervals, avoiding milking during treatment periods.
- Educate farmers about pesticide risks and best practices in handling chemicals on dairy farms.

d. Environmental Exposure

Spraying of pesticides near dairy farms may lead to residue deposition on grazing fields, soil, water, and milking equipment, contributing to contamination.

2. Common Pesticide Types Found in Milk

Pesticide Category	Examples	Potential Risk
Organochlorines (OCs)	DDT, Lindane, Endosulfan	Highly persistent and bioaccumulative; banned or restricted in many regions
Organophosphates (OPs)	Malathion, Chlorpyrifos	Neurotoxic effects; less persistent but hazardous
Carbamates	Carbaryl, Aldicarb	Disrupt the nervous system; short environmental persistence
Synthetic Pyrethroids	Deltamethrin, Cypermethrin	Moderate toxicity; widely used insecticides
Herbicides/Fungicides	Atrazine, Mancozeb	Typically found at lower levels, but chronic exposure may be harmful

3. Detection Methods for Pesticide Residues in Milk

Milk is a complex substance containing proteins, fats, carbohydrates, and minerals, making the detection of pesticide residues challenging. To ensure food safety and meet regulatory standards, it is essential to use precise and reliable analytical methods.

1. Sample Preparation

Effective sample preparation is crucial to isolate pesticide residues from milk while eliminating substances that may interfere with detection.

Extraction Methods:

- **Liquid-Liquid Extraction (LLE):** This technique uses organic solvents such as hexane or ethyl acetate to separate pesticide residues from milk fat.
- **Solid Phase Extraction (SPE):** Involves passing the milk sample through cartridges containing adsorbent materials to selectively isolate pesticide compounds.
- **QuEChERS (Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged, and Safe):** A modern and widely adopted approach that utilizes acetonitrile for extraction followed by dispersive solid-phase extraction to remove fats and proteins effectively.

Cleanup: Removing milk fats and proteins is vital to avoid interference during the analysis phase.

2. Analytical Techniques

a. Gas Chromatography (GC)

GC separates volatile and semi-volatile pesticides by exploiting their differences in boiling points.

Common Detectors:

- **Electron Capture Detector (ECD):** Sensitive to halogen-containing pesticides.
- **Flame Photometric Detector (FPD):** Ideal for detecting pesticides containing sulfur and phosphorus.
- **Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS):** Offers high sensitivity and specificity, enabling identification of pesticide molecules.

Pros:

- High sensitivity for volatile compounds.
- Well-established and widely used.

Cons:

- Only suitable for pesticides that are volatile or can be chemically modified to be volatile.
- Sample preparation can be labor-intensive.

b. Liquid Chromatography (LC)

LC is suitable for analyzing pesticides that are non-volatile or thermally unstable.

Detectors:

- **Ultraviolet (UV) Detector:** Used for pesticides that absorb UV light; less sensitive.
- **Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS):** Highly sensitive and selective; capable of detecting multiple pesticide residues simultaneously.

Pros:

- Suitable for a wide variety of pesticides, including polar and non-volatile compounds.
- Requires less extensive sample preparation compared to GC.

Cons:

- Instrumentation is generally more expensive.
- Matrix effects may affect accuracy without proper sample cleanup.

c. Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

ELISA utilizes antibodies to detect specific pesticides quickly.

Pros:

- Rapid, cost-effective, and straightforward.
- Suitable for screening large numbers of samples.

Cons:

- Limited to pesticides for which antibodies have been developed.
- Potential for cross-reactivity, which can cause false positives.

d. High-Performance Thin Layer Chromatography (HPTLC)

HPTLC is an improved version of TLC offering better resolution and sensitivity.

Pros:

- Economical and user-friendly.
- Provides visual and semi-quantitative results.

Cons:

- Less sensitive and precise compared to GC and LC methods.
- Typically used for preliminary screening.

3. Advanced Techniques

- **GC-MS/MS:** Enhances sensitivity and selectivity, ideal for analyzing multiple pesticide residues.
- **LC-MS/MS:** Regarded as the gold standard for detecting trace pesticides with high accuracy, capable of multi-residue quantification.
- **QuEChERS coupled with GC-MS/MS or LC-MS/MS:** Efficiently combines sample prep and detection, facilitating high-throughput pesticide monitoring.

4.Quality Control and Validation in Pesticide Residue Analysis in Milk

Ensuring the reliability, accuracy, and reproducibility of pesticide residue testing in milk is critical for food safety and regulatory compliance. To achieve this, laboratories must implement strict **quality control (QC)** protocols alongside comprehensive **method validation** procedures. These practices confirm that analytical methods are fit for purpose and provide trustworthy results.

1. Purpose of Quality Control and Validation

- Guarantee that analytical methods consistently detect and quantify pesticide residues within regulatory limits.
- Confirm that the method's sensitivity meets or exceeds the required thresholds (such as Maximum Residue Limits, MRLs).
- Minimize analytical errors, interferences, and false-positive or false-negative results.
- Build confidence in milk safety assessments for consumers and regulatory bodies.

2. Validation Parameters

a. Selectivity/Specificity

- The method must reliably differentiate pesticide residues from milk's natural components like fats, proteins, carbohydrates, and other chemicals.
- Avoid false signals caused by matrix interferences.

b. Linearity

- Demonstrates that the measured response changes proportionally with pesticide concentration across the analytical range.
- Typically evaluated by constructing calibration curves using known standards.
- A coefficient of determination (R^2) value of 0.99 or higher indicates excellent linearity.

c. Accuracy (Recovery)

- Reflects how close the detected pesticide concentration is to the true spiked concentration in milk samples.
- Evaluated by spiking blank milk samples with known pesticide amounts and calculating recovery percentages.
- Acceptable recovery generally falls between 70% and 120%.

d. Precision

- Indicates the reproducibility of the method under consistent conditions.
- Includes:
    - **Repeatability (Intra-day Precision):** Same operator, instrument, and laboratory within a single day.
    - **Reproducibility (Inter-day Precision):** Different days, operators, instruments, or laboratories.

- Expressed as Relative Standard Deviation (%RSD), with acceptable limits usually ≤ 20%.

e. Limit of Detection (LOD)

- The smallest pesticide concentration that can be reliably distinguished from background noise.
- Commonly determined by achieving a signal-to-noise ratio of approximately 3:1.

f. Limit of Quantification (LOQ)

- The minimum pesticide concentration that can be quantitatively measured with acceptable accuracy and precision.
- Typically corresponds to a signal-to-noise ratio of about 10:1.

g. Robustness

- Evaluates method performance under small, deliberate variations in experimental conditions such as temperature, pH, solvent volumes, or instrument settings.
- Ensures method reliability despite typical operational fluctuations.

h. Matrix Effects

- Studies the influence of milk components on the pesticide signal, especially relevant for techniques like LC-MS/MS.
- Matrix compounds can cause suppression or enhancement of the analytical signal, potentially skewing quantification.
- Controlled by using matrix-matched calibration standards or internal standards.

3. Quality Control Measures

a. Use of Internal Standards

- Compounds chemically similar to target pesticides but absent naturally in milk.
- Added at consistent concentrations to samples, standards, and blanks.
- Corrects for variability in sample preparation and instrument response, improving accuracy and precision.

b. Calibration and Calibration Verification

- Calibration curves must be freshly prepared and run regularly.
- Calibration verification involves analyzing standards of known concentration to confirm accuracy.

c. Control Samples and Blanks

- **Blanks:** Milk samples free of pesticides used to check for contamination and interference.
- **Spiked Controls:** Blank milk samples fortified with known pesticide levels to monitor extraction efficiency and method performance during routine testing.

d. Replicate Analysis

- Multiple replicates of the same sample are analyzed to assess precision and consistency of results.

e. Proficiency Testing

- Participation in external quality assurance programs or inter-laboratory comparisons to benchmark analytical performance.

4. Documentation and Reporting

- Detailed records of validation procedures, calibration data, recovery experiments, precision tests, and raw data must be maintained.

- Final reports should clearly state method capabilities, validated detection limits, accuracy, precision, and any limitations.
- Regular audits and method re-validation are recommended when significant changes occur in equipment, reagents, or sample types.

5. Regulatory Guidelines

Validation and QC protocols typically align with international standards and regulatory guidelines such as:

- **Codex Alimentarius** – CAC/GL 40-1993: Guidelines for sampling and analysis of pesticide residues.
- **European Commission SANCO/12682/2019:** Guidance on analytical quality control and method validation.
- **US FDA and EPA regulations**
- **ICH Q2 (R1):** Validation of Analytical Procedures.

Table

Validation Parameter	Purpose	Typical Acceptance Criteria
Selectivity	Distinguish pesticides from milk matrix	No significant interference
Linearity	Proportional response to concentration	$R^2 \geq 0.99$
Accuracy (Recovery)	Correct quantification of pesticides	70–120% recovery
Precision	Reproducibility of results	%RSD ≤ 20%
LOD	Lowest detectable pesticide concentration	Signal-to-noise ratio ~3:1
LOQ	Lowest quantifiable pesticide concentration	Signal-to-noise ratio ~10:1
Robustness	Method reliability under minor changes	No significant effect on results
Matrix Effects	Influence of milk components on detection	Controlled by internal/matrix standards

- **Calibration Curves:** Created using known pesticide standards for accurate quantification.
- **Recovery Tests:** Verify that extraction and detection methods can reliably recover pesticides from milk.
- **Limits of Detection (LOD) and Quantification (LOQ):** Define the minimum pesticide levels that can be detected or accurately measured.
- **Internal Standards:** Used to improve analytical precision and accuracy.

Table

Method	Target Pesticides	Sensitivity	Sample Prep Complexity	Cost	Typical Use Case
GC-ECD / GC-FPD	Volatile, halogenated	High	Moderate	Moderate	Organochlorines, phosphorus
GC-MS/MS	Volatile and semi-volatile	Very High	Moderate	High	Multi-residue pesticide analysis
LC-UV	Non-volatile, UV-absorbing	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Specific pesticide detection
LC-MS/MS	Broad range, polar/non-volatile	Very High	Moderate	Very High	Trace and multi-residue analysis
ELISA	Specific pesticides	Moderate	Low	Low	Rapid screening
HPTLC	Various	Low to Moderate	Low	Low	Preliminary screening

Accurate detection of pesticide residues in milk involves meticulous sample preparation followed by advanced analytical techniques. Currently, GC-MS/MS and LC-MS/MS stand out as the most sensitive and reliable methods for multi-residue analysis. Meanwhile, ELISA and HPTLC provide fast and cost-effective options for routine screening.

Modern testing methods allow for the detection of pesticide residues even at trace levels.

a. Sample Preparation

- **Extraction**: Organic solvents like acetonitrile are used to extract pesticide residues.
- **Purification**: Techniques such as **SPE (Solid Phase Extraction)** or **QuEChERS** are used to clean the sample before analysis.

b. Laboratory Techniques

Technique	Purpose	Advantages	Limitations
GC-MS (Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry)	Best for volatile and thermally stable pesticides	High precision and accuracy	High cost and complex setup
LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry)	Effective for non-volatile and polar compounds	Multi-residue detection; high sensitivity	Expensive equipment
HPLC (High-Performance Liquid	Common for polar	Reliable and widely	Less sensitive than MS-

Chromatography)	pesticides	available	based methods
ELISA (Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay)	Screening tool using antibodies	Quick, cost-effective	Lower specificity and limited to known targets

4. Health Implications of Pesticide Residues in Milk

a. Short-Term Health Effects

- Nausea
- Headaches
- Abdominal pain
- Dizziness

b. Long-Term Health Risks

- Endocrine (hormonal) disruption
- Reproductive and developmental issues
- Nervous system disorders
- Carcinogenicity, particularly from OCs and OPs

Children, pregnant women, and the elderly are at the greatest risk due to higher sensitivity to chemical toxins.

5. Regulatory Guidelines and Limits

Authorities worldwide have defined **Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs)** for pesticides in milk to ensure public safety.

Organization	Role	Example: MRL for DDT
Codex Alimentarius (FAO/WHO)	Global food safety standards	40 µg/kg
FSSAI (India)	National regulation and enforcement	0.01–0.05 mg/kg (depending on compound)
US FDA / EPA	U.S. regulatory bodies	Pesticide-specific limits
European Union (EU)	Sets some of the strictest limits	Typically < 0.01 mg/kg

Residues exceeding these limits render milk unfit for human consumption.

6. Control Measures to Prevent Contamination

a. Farm-Level Practices

- Use of pesticide-free or low-residue feed
- Adoption of **Integrated Pest Management (IPM)**
- Supply of clean and uncontaminated water
- Avoidance of direct pesticide application on dairy animals

b. Milk Processing and Handling

- Implementation of regular testing protocols
- Following **Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP)**
- Traceability systems to track milk to its source

c. Regulatory Oversight

- Enforcement of MRLs and safety guidelines
- Farmer education and awareness programs
- Mandatory product certification and quality audits

7. Notable Case Studies

IN India

Studies across various regions have shown that both raw and pasteurized milk samples occasionally exceed safe pesticide limits, especially in unregulated urban markets.

EU EU & US USA

Stringent monitoring ensures that most milk samples stay well within legal residue limits. Organic milk is generally found to have negligible or no pesticide residues.

Pesticide contamination in milk remains a public health challenge, especially in regions with less stringent regulatory enforcement. By combining good agricultural practices, rigorous testing, and strong policy frameworks, the risk can be significantly reduced. Ensuring the safety of milk is not just about protecting consumers — it's about preserving long-term public health.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and publication of this article.

Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship and publication of this article.

References

[1] Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) / World Health Organization (WHO). (2019). Codex Alimentarius: Guidelines on Methods of Sampling and Analysis for Pesticide Residues. CAC/GL 40-1993. Available at: http://www.fao.org/fao-who-codexalimentarius

[2] European Commission. (2019). Guidance document on analytical quality control and method validation procedures for pesticide residues analysis in food and feed. SANTE/12682/2019. Available at: https://food.ec.europa.eu

[3] Ahmad, A., & Khan, S. (2017). Pesticide residues in milk and their detection techniques. Journal of Food Science and Technology, 54(8), 2433–2442. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-017-2715-1

[4] Lehotay, S.J., et al. (2005). Quick, Easy, Cheap, Effective, Rugged, and Safe (QuEChERS) method for pesticide residue analysis in food matrices. Journal of AOAC International, 88(2), 595-614.

[5] Kumar, A., & Kumar, S. (2019). Application of chromatographic techniques for pesticide residue analysis in milk: A review. Food Analytical Methods, 12, 1234–1247. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-019-01627-5

[6] United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2018). Pesticide Analytical Methods for Environmental Samples. EPA/600/R-18/142.

[7] International Council for Harmonisation (ICH). (2005). Q2(R1) Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology. Available at: https://www.ich.org

[8] Cathey CG, Huang ZG, Sarr AB, Clement BA and Phillips TD, 1994. Development and evaluation of a minicolumn assay for the detection of aflatoxin M1 in milk. J Dairy Sci, 77(5): 1223 1231

[9] Ali, A.A.; Metwally, M.M.; Ahmed, N.S. and Anb, A.K. (1993): Pesticide residues in milk and their distribution among dairy products. Egyptian J. of Dairy Science. 21 (2): 291-299.

[10] Bulut J.K., Turk K.L., Morrison F.B. (2011). The value of distillers feeds for milk production. Journal of Dairy Science, 35, 868-873.

[11] Pandit G.G., Sharma S., Srivastava P.K., Sahu S.K. (2002). Persistent organochlorine pesticide residues in milk and dairy products in India. Food Additives and Contaminants, 19(2), 153-157.

[12] Ravichandran K., Krishnamoorthy S., Pakkirisamy M., Pandiyaraja P., Sekar S., Shanmugam K. (2015). Organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticide residues analysis by GC- MS.MS in raw cow milk. International Journal of Agriculture and Life Science, 1(4), 7-11.

[13] Dogheim S.M., El-Marsafy A.M., Salama E.Y., Gadalla S.A., Nabil Y.M. (2002). Monitoring pesticide residues in Egyptian fruits and vegetables during 1997. Food Additives and Contaminants. 19, 1015-1027.