



Financial and Economic Challenges of Indian Farmers: A Comprehensive Study on Credit, Debt, and Market Dynamics

Anthony Savio Herminio da Piedade Fernandes^{1*}, Philomena Sebastiana da Piedade Fernandes²

¹Co-Founder, Philu's Farm

²Founder, Philu's Farm

*Corresponding author

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.63680/ijstate092512.17>

Abstract

Agriculture continues to be the backbone of the Indian economy, employing nearly half of the country's workforce. Yet, Indian farmers are persistently burdened by financial and economic challenges that threaten their livelihoods and agricultural sustainability. This research paper explores the spectrum of issues ranging from rising input costs, dependence on informal credit, inadequate crop insurance, and unstable market prices to post-harvest losses and weak access to institutional support. Drawing on secondary literature, policy analysis, and case studies, the paper critically examines the structural and systemic problems that perpetuate rural indebtedness and limit profitability. It also highlights the role of middlemen, inefficiencies in the Minimum Support Price (MSP) system, and gaps in warehousing infrastructure. The discussion further situates these challenges within broader national and global economic transitions. Finally, the paper presents actionable recommendations including strengthening rural credit mechanisms, expanding crop insurance, promoting income diversification, investing in storage and logistics, and enabling direct farmer-market linkages. The study emphasizes that addressing the financial and economic struggles of farmers are not only a moral imperative but also essential for achieving sustainable development, food security, and rural resilience in India.

Keywords: Indian farmers, financial challenges, agricultural credit, rural debt, crop insurance, market instability, MSP, middlemen, post-harvest losses, agricultural economy

1. Introduction

1.1 Background of Indian Agriculture

Agriculture is the backbone of the Indian economy, providing livelihood to nearly half of the nation's population and contributing significantly to food security and rural development. According to the Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare (2023), about 46% of India's workforce is employed in agriculture and allied

sectors. Although the sector's contribution to the national Gross Domestic Product (GDP) has declined from more than 50% at the time of independence to around 16–18% in recent years, its social and economic relevance remains profound. Farmers not only supply the food grains and raw materials for industries but also support the rural economy by generating employment, fostering trade, and maintaining cultural traditions tied to agriculture.

Despite this centrality, Indian agriculture is plagued by financial and economic hardships that consistently undermine farmers' livelihoods. The paradox is stark: while India is among the world's largest producers of rice, wheat, pulses, and spices, its cultivators frequently face financial instability, low profitability, and indebtedness. These challenges are not merely economic—they are intertwined with social, cultural, and environmental issues, leading to severe consequences, including distress migration, declining interest in farming among younger generations, and, tragically, farmer suicides in several states.

1.2 Role in GDP and Employment

Agriculture's declining share in GDP has been accompanied by structural shifts in India's economy. The service and manufacturing sectors have expanded, yet agriculture still absorbs a disproportionately large section of the workforce. This mismatch between productivity and employment creates economic stress, as incomes from agriculture are often inadequate to sustain households, particularly in states with small and fragmented landholdings.

Small and marginal farmers—those owning less than two hectares of land—constitute more than 85% of all operational holdings in India (Agricultural Census, 2021). However, their productivity and profitability remain low due to insufficient economies of scale, limited access to credit, and vulnerability to market fluctuations. These conditions perpetuate cycles of poverty and debt, which are central to the financial challenges studied in this paper.

1.3 Importance of Financial Stability for Farmers

Financial stability is critical for farmers not only to sustain their livelihoods but also to ensure the nation's food security. Farming involves multiple stages; including land preparation, input procurement, irrigation, sowing, crop protection, harvesting, storage, and marketing. Each stage requires investment, and in the absence of adequate institutional support, farmers often rely on informal moneylenders, who charge exorbitant interest rates. The result is a vicious cycle of debt, where farmers borrow to cultivate but cannot recover enough from sales to repay, forcing further borrowing.

Financial insecurity also restricts farmers from adopting modern technologies, quality seeds, or irrigation systems, thereby keeping productivity low. Moreover, the unpredictability of weather, coupled with poor crop insurance coverage, amplifies risks. These economic stresses directly affect farmers' mental health and contribute to rural distress. Thus, addressing financial challenges is not merely a matter of improving agricultural output but also one of social justice and rural resilience.

1.4 Statement of the Problem and Research Questions

Despite numerous government interventions—ranging from loan waivers and input subsidies to crop insurance schemes and the Minimum Support Price (MSP) system—farmers' financial difficulties persist. The

frequent reports of farmer suicides and rural protests underscore the severity of the problem. There is, therefore, a pressing need to analyze the root causes of these challenges and evaluate the effectiveness of existing interventions.

The central problem addressed in this paper is: "Why do financial and economic challenges continue to plague Indian farmers despite sustained policy support and rapid economic growth?"

This study is guided by the following research questions:

1. What are the major financial and economic challenges faced by Indian farmers today?
2. How do structural issues such as fragmented landholdings, lack of institutional credit, and dependence on middlemen exacerbate these challenges?
3. What role do government policies (e.g., MSP, crop insurance, loan waivers) play in mitigating or perpetuating farmers' difficulties?
4. How do these challenges vary across regions and categories of farmers (small/marginal vs. large-scale)?
5. What practical recommendations can address the systemic issues to ensure financial resilience for farmers?

1.5 Objectives and Significance of the Study

The objectives of this research paper are threefold:

- To identify and categorize the financial and economic challenges faced by Indian farmers.
- To analyze the structural, institutional, and policy-related factors that perpetuates these challenges.
- To recommend feasible strategies and policy measures for enhancing farmers' financial stability and long-term sustainability.

The significance of this study lies in its contribution to academic, policy, and grassroots-level debates. From an academic standpoint, it adds to the literature by synthesizing economic, social, and institutional perspectives. For policymakers, it provides evidence-based recommendations to reform existing systems. At the grassroots level, it sheds light on farmers' lived experiences, which are often lost in macroeconomic statistics. Addressing farmers' financial issues is not only vital for rural prosperity but also for achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly those related to poverty eradication, zero hunger, and sustainable communities.

1.6 Methodology and Scope

This study employs a qualitative research methodology, drawing extensively on secondary data from government reports, policy documents, academic journals, books, and credible media sources. Comparative insights are also drawn from international case studies, particularly from countries such as China, Brazil, and Bangladesh, which have implemented innovative farmer support systems.

The scope of the paper is limited to financial and economic challenges, although these often intersect with environmental and social issues. The analysis covers small, marginal, and medium farmers across diverse regions of India, with attention to regional disparities. While the primary focus is on crop cultivation, references are also made to allied sectors such as dairy, fisheries, and horticulture, given their growing importance in rural incomes.

1.7 Conclusion of Introduction

In summary, Indian farmers face persistent financial and economic challenges that threaten their sustainability and national food security. While government policies have sought to alleviate these issues, the persistence of debt cycles, market exploitation, and infrastructure gaps indicates systemic weaknesses. This research paper aims to provide a holistic understanding of these challenges and suggest pathways for reform. The urgency of this study cannot be overstated, as the well-being of millions of farmers—and by extension, the nation's socio-economic stability—depends on addressing these issues effectively.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Introduction to the Literature Review

The financial and economic challenges faced by Indian farmers have been widely studied from multiple perspectives—agricultural economics, rural sociology, development studies, and public policy. This literature review synthesizes key works that illuminate the structural, institutional, and market-related issues constraining farmers' livelihoods. The review is organized thematically, covering historical perspectives, rural credit systems, rising input costs, crop insurance, market dynamics, global comparisons, and gaps in research.

2.2 Historical Context of Financial Challenges in Indian Agriculture

The roots of farmers' financial difficulties can be traced back to colonial agrarian structures. Blyn (1966) documented how colonial land revenue systems such as the Zamindari system and Ryotwari settlement entrenched inequalities, dispossessing small cultivators and empowering intermediaries. Post-independence land reforms were uneven across states, leaving many farmers with fragmented landholdings (Appu, 1996). By the Green Revolution in the 1960s and 1970s, India achieved food self-sufficiency but created new economic pressures. Singh (2000) argues that while high-yielding varieties increased productivity, they also raised input dependency—fertilizers, irrigation, pesticides—which small and marginal farmers struggled to afford. This technological shift laid the foundation for input-intensive agriculture, increasing both productivity and financial vulnerability.

2.3 Studies on Rural Credit Systems

Access to affordable credit remains a central theme in literature. The All India Rural Credit Survey (1954) highlighted the overwhelming dominance of informal moneylenders. Subsequent studies (Binswanger & Khandker, 1995; Mohan, 2006) show that while institutional credit expanded through cooperatives and nationalized banks, informal lending still persists, especially in remote areas. NABARD (2018) reports that nearly 30% of rural households continue to rely on moneylenders, who often charge interest rates as high as 24–36% annually. Farmers resort to such credit because of bureaucratic hurdles, collateral requirements, and delays in institutional lending. Sharma (2012) found that debt cycles are particularly severe in Vidarbha, Maharashtra, where small cotton farmers face fluctuating global prices and are trapped in repeated borrowing. This phenomenon has been linked to farmer suicides (Vaidyanathan, 2006; Deshpande, 2002), illustrating the lethal consequences of inadequate credit systems.

2.4 Rising Input Costs and Debt Cycles

Numerous studies underscore how rising input costs exacerbate farmers' financial woes. BIRTHAL, Joshi, and Gulati (2005) emphasize that fertilizer subsidies often benefit larger farmers, leaving smallholders exposed to market fluctuations. Fuel and electricity prices also directly impact irrigation costs (Chand, 2017). According to Reddy and Mishra (2009), the commercialization of agriculture has increased dependence on market-purchased inputs, reducing self-sufficiency in seed saving and organic fertilization. The introduction of genetically modified seeds, particularly Bt cotton, has been criticized for raising costs without guaranteeing returns (Stone, 2011). Research further indicates that rising input costs disproportionately affect marginal farmers, whose limited landholdings make economies of scale unviable (Ghosh, 2019). The result is shrinking profit margins and heightened dependence on credit.

2.5 Crop Insurance and Risk Management

Risk management is another widely studied area. The National Agricultural Insurance Scheme (NAIS) and its successor, the Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana (PMFBY), were designed to protect farmers from crop loss due to natural disasters. However, several studies point out limitations. Bhende (2005) found that awareness of crop insurance remains low among small farmers. Rathore (2017) observed delays in claim settlements and inadequate compensation, leading to disillusionment. Chandrakant (2020) argues that crop insurance has not succeeded in reducing farmer suicides because of its poor coverage, limited outreach, and dependence on private insurance companies. In fact, many farmers opt out due to high premiums and lack of transparency in claim processes.

2.6 Market and Pricing Challenges

The pricing of agricultural produce is a critical concern in literature. The Minimum Support Price (MSP) system has been studied extensively. Gulati and Pursell (2009) argue that MSP primarily benefits farmers in Punjab and Haryana who cultivate wheat and rice, while smallholders in eastern and southern states often fail to access procurement facilities. Acharya (2004) highlights the exploitative role of intermediaries in agricultural marketing. Farmers sell to middlemen at below-MSP rates due to lack of storage, immediate cash needs, and weak bargaining power. Studies on Agricultural Produce Market Committees (APMCs) show mixed results—while they aim to protect farmers, they are often criticized for corruption and cartelization (Sood, 2016). Further, research by Kumar and Sharma (2015) demonstrates how global market volatility, such as fluctuations in cotton and soybean prices, directly affects Indian farmers, especially those integrated into international supply chains.

2.7 Post-Harvest and Infrastructure Challenges

Post-harvest losses are a significant contributor to financial stress. According to the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR, 2019), India loses nearly 15–20% of fruits and vegetables annually due to poor storage and transport. Studies (Mittal, 2007; Kumar et al., 2016) highlight inadequate cold storage, poor road connectivity, and insufficient warehousing facilities as major bottlenecks. These infrastructural gaps force farmers into distress sales immediately after harvest, when prices are lowest. Moreover, the lack of value addition and agro-processing industries limits farmers' ability to diversify income sources (Joshi et al., 2004). The literature emphasizes that without investment in rural infrastructure, financial challenges will persist regardless of policy interventions.

2.8 Structural and Institutional Issues

Several scholars focus on structural constraints. Small and fragmented landholdings, as highlighted by the Agricultural Census (2016), limit productivity and profitability. Sen and Bhatia (2004) argue that land fragmentation leads to inefficiencies in mechanization and irrigation.

Policy-related issues are also frequently discussed. Gulati and Juneja (2019) note that loan waivers, while politically popular, provide only temporary relief and fail to address systemic credit constraints. Similarly, subsidies on electricity and water often encourage overexploitation of resources, creating long-term sustainability issues (Shah, 2009). Institutional weaknesses, such as inefficient cooperatives, poor extension services, and corruption in subsidy distribution, are highlighted by Saxena (2011). These inefficiencies reduce the effectiveness of state interventions and perpetuate financial distress.

2.9 Comparative Global Perspectives

Comparative literature offers useful insights. Brazil, for example, has implemented large-scale credit programs and strong farmer cooperatives that enhance bargaining power (Schneider, 2016). China's agricultural reforms emphasize collective farming services and digital marketplaces, which reduce transaction costs (Huang & Rozelle, 2018). Bangladesh has been successful in promoting microfinance through institutions like Grameen Bank, which provide small loans without collateral (Yunus, 2007). These international experiences highlight the importance of institutional innovations in mitigating financial challenges. In contrast, Indian farmers remain constrained by fragmented landholdings, weak cooperatives, and insufficient digital penetration, indicating the need for structural reforms.

2.10 Gaps in Existing Literature

While the literature is extensive, certain gaps persist:

1. **Regional Variations** – Much of the research focuses on states like Punjab and Maharashtra, with less attention to eastern states like Bihar, Odisha, and Jharkhand.
2. **Gender Dimensions** – Few studies explore the financial challenges faced specifically by women farmers, who often lack land titles and access to credit.
3. **Mental Health Linkages** – While farmer suicides are documented, the relationship between financial stress and mental health remains underexplored.
4. **Digital and Technological Solutions** – Emerging tools such as agri-tech platforms, mobile-based marketplaces, and blockchain traceability are under-represented in literature.
5. **Climate–Economy Nexus** – There is limited integration of climate change studies with financial challenges, despite evidence that erratic weather directly impacts farm incomes.

2.11 Conclusion of Literature Review

The literature reveals that financial and economic challenges faced by Indian farmers are deeply structural, involving historical legacies, institutional weaknesses, and market failures. While credit access, input costs, and market exploitation are recurring themes, post-harvest infrastructure and policy gaps add further complexity. Comparative global studies suggest that institutional innovation and cooperative models could provide lessons for India. However, gaps in regional, gendered, and technological analysis highlight the need for further research. This review sets the foundation for the next section, which will provide a detailed analysis

of the financial and economic challenges faced by Indian farmers in contemporary India.

3. Financial and Economic Challenges Faced by Indian Farmers

3.1 Introduction to Financial and Economic Challenges

Indian farmers face an intricate web of financial and economic pressures that extend across every stage of the agricultural cycle. From procuring seeds and fertilizers to marketing produce in distant mandis (wholesale markets), farmers encounter systemic inefficiencies, exploitative structures, and fluctuating costs. While agriculture has been romanticized as a noble vocation, it has increasingly become a financially risky enterprise. Studies consistently show that a majority of Indian farmers are unable to cover even their cost of cultivation. According to the National Sample Survey Office (NSSO, 2019), over 52% of agricultural households were indebted, with the average outstanding loan per household being more than ₹74,000.

3.2 Rising Input Costs

3.2.1 Seeds and Fertilizers

The transition from traditional to high-yielding varieties has increased farmers' dependence on external inputs. Hybrid and genetically modified seeds, while promising higher yields, are often priced significantly higher than traditional varieties. Multinational seed companies dominate this market, limiting affordability for smallholders. Fertilizer consumption has also risen sharply. While the government subsidizes fertilizers, distribution inefficiencies and black-marketing often push prices beyond farmers' reach. Small farmers, in particular, are unable to access timely supplies, forcing them to purchase at inflated costs.

3.2.2 Pesticides and Crop Protection

Pesticide costs have escalated due to increasing pest resistance and climate-related changes. Farmers cultivating cotton, pulses, and horticultural crops are especially vulnerable. Excessive reliance on pesticides not only raises costs but also leads to soil degradation and health issues, adding hidden long-term economic burdens.

3.2.3 Fuel and Energy Costs

Rising diesel and electricity costs for irrigation pumps, tractors, and transport have significantly raised cultivation expenses. Since many states have reduced electricity subsidies in recent years, farmers now face higher operational costs. Dependence on diesel for tractors and harvesters has further tied farm economics to volatile global oil prices.

3.2.4 Machinery and Technology

While mechanization promises efficiency, its high cost puts it out of reach for most small farmers. Renting machinery is common, but seasonal demand drives up rental charges. Consequently, mechanization remains underutilized, keeping productivity low and costs high.

3.3 Credit and Debt Issues

3.3.1 Dependence on Informal Lenders

Despite the presence of institutional credit sources—banks, cooperatives, and microfinance institutions—farmers still rely heavily on informal moneylenders. According to NABARD's All India Rural Financial Inclusion Survey (2018), nearly one-third of agricultural households borrow from moneylenders, who charge interest rates ranging from 24% to 60%.

3.3.2 Limited Institutional Credit Access

Farmers face bureaucratic hurdles in obtaining bank loans, including collateral requirements, lengthy paperwork, and delays. Landless farmers, tenant cultivators, and women farmers are particularly excluded due to lack of land titles.

3.3.3 Debt Traps and Farmer Suicides

Mounting debt is one of the gravest outcomes of financial distress. In states like Maharashtra (Vidarbha) and Telangana, farmer suicides are closely linked to unpayable debts (Deshpande, 2002). These tragedies reflect systemic failures in credit design and risk management.

3.3.4 Loan Waivers: Temporary Relief

Loan waivers, frequently announced before elections, provide short-term respite but fail to address structural issues. Studies (Gulati & Juneja, 2019) show that waivers distort credit culture, reduce banks' willingness to lend, and benefit larger farmers disproportionately.

3.4 Crop Insurance and Risk Management

3.4.1 Limited Coverage

The Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana (PMFBY) was launched to provide financial protection against crop losses. However, only a fraction of farmers are enrolled, and coverage remains skewed towards certain crops and states.

3.4.2 Delays and Low Compensation

Many farmers report long delays in claim settlements. Further, the compensation often falls far short of actual losses. These inefficiencies have eroded farmers' trust in insurance schemes.

3.4.3 Awareness and Accessibility Gaps

Awareness campaigns about crop insurance remain limited. Tenant farmers and sharecroppers are often excluded, as policies are tied to land ownership records.

3.4.4 Private Sector Involvement

The entry of private insurance companies has improved efficiency in some cases but also raised concerns about profit motives overshadowing farmers' welfare.

3.5 Market and Pricing Challenges

3.5.1 Unstable Market Prices

Agricultural produce prices are notoriously volatile. For example, tomato farmers often face boom-and-bust cycles, with prices swinging between ₹2 and ₹80 per kilogram within a single season. Such volatility makes income planning impossible.

3.5.2 Limitations of MSP System

The Minimum Support Price (MSP) is intended as a safety net, but it benefits only a minority. The Shanta Kumar Committee (2015) reported that only about 6% of farmers actually sell their crops at MSP. Wheat and rice dominate procurement, marginalizing farmers of pulses, oilseeds, and coarse cereals.

3.5.3 Role of Middlemen and Mandis

The Agricultural Produce Market Committee (APMC) system often forces farmers to sell through licensed traders. Middlemen exploit farmers by paying below-market prices, deducting arbitrary commissions, and delaying payments. Farmers lack the bargaining power to negotiate fair deals.

3.5.4 Limited Direct Market Access

Farmers rarely sell directly to consumers or retailers due to poor infrastructure, lack of logistics, and limited digital literacy. Initiatives like e-NAM (electronic National Agriculture Market) aim to address this but adoption remains low.

3.6 Post-Harvest and Infrastructure Challenges

3.6.1 High Post-Harvest Losses

India loses nearly 20% of fruits and vegetables annually due to poor storage and handling (ICAR, 2019). These losses directly translate into reduced farmer incomes.

3.6.2 Storage and Warehousing Deficiencies

Small farmers lack access to affordable storage facilities. Government warehouses are limited, and private options are expensive. As a result, farmers sell immediately after harvest at depressed prices.

3.6.3 Transportation and Logistics Costs

Poor rural roads, lack of cold chain facilities, and high transport costs prevent farmers from reaching distant, higher-paying markets. Perishable crops like milk, vegetables, and fish suffer most.

3.6.4 Lack of Value Addition

The absence of agro-processing facilities means farmers sell raw produce rather than processed goods, forfeiting higher margins. Developing agro-industrial clusters could significantly improve rural incomes, but

investments remain low.

3.7 Structural and Institutional Challenges

3.7.1 Small and Fragmented Landholdings

Over 85% of farmers in India operate on holdings smaller than two hectares (Agricultural Census, 2021). Small farms struggle with economies of scale, mechanization, and productivity.

3.7.2 Policy Inefficiencies

Many policies, including subsidies and loan waivers, are poorly targeted. Benefits often accrue to wealthier farmers, bypassing smallholders. Moreover, frequent policy shifts create uncertainty.

3.7.3 Weak Farmer Cooperatives

Unlike in countries such as Denmark or Japan, farmer cooperatives in India are weak and fragmented. Lack of strong collective bargaining power leaves individual farmers vulnerable in markets.

3.7.4 Corruption and Bureaucracy

Corruption in subsidy distribution, delays in procurement payments, and inefficiencies in government schemes reduce the intended benefits for farmers.

3.8 Regional Variations in Challenges

- Punjab and Haryana: Benefit most from MSP procurement but face rising input costs and declining groundwater.
- Maharashtra and Telangana: Cotton and sugarcane farmers face global price volatility and high suicide rates.
- Eastern States (Bihar, Odisha, Jharkhand): Limited irrigation, poor infrastructure, and weak institutional support exacerbate poverty.
- Southern States (Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka): Plantation crops and horticulture face export fluctuations and climate risks.

These variations indicate that while some challenges are nationwide (e.g., credit dependence), others are region-specific.

3.9 Intersection with Social Dimensions

3.9.1 Gendered Challenges

Women farmers, who constitute nearly 30% of the agricultural workforce, often lack land titles and thus access to credit or insurance. Their contributions remain invisible in most financial policies.

3.9.2 Youth Disengagement

Rural youth increasingly migrate to cities, viewing farming as unprofitable. This exodus exacerbates labor

shortages and weakens rural economies.

3.9.3 Mental Health and Distress

Financial insecurity contributes to stress, anxiety, and depression. The tragic phenomenon of farmer suicides underscores the psychological toll of economic hardship.

3.10 Conclusion of Challenges

The financial and economic challenges faced by Indian farmers are multifaceted and interlinked. Rising input costs, inadequate credit systems, ineffective insurance, volatile markets, and infrastructural deficits collectively undermine profitability. Structural issues—such as small landholdings and weak cooperatives—further entrench vulnerability. While government schemes provide some relief, systemic reforms are urgently needed to address the root causes.

4. Study and Discussions

4.1 Rising Input Costs and Financial Strain

The financial distress of Indian farmers is strongly linked to escalating input costs. Seeds, fertilizers, pesticides, electricity, and labour account for a substantial portion of farmers' expenses. The cost of fertilizers such as urea and DAP has increased due to fluctuations in global markets, even though the government provides subsidies. High dependence on chemical inputs has created a scenario where farmers must invest heavily before sowing without any guarantee of returns. Mechanization, while improving efficiency, also raises capital requirements. Tractors, irrigation pumps, and harvesters are often out of reach for small farmers, who instead rent machinery at high rates. This raises their cost of production compared to larger landholders. Similarly, diesel and electricity expenses contribute significantly to overall expenditure. Rising wages of agricultural labor due to rural–urban migration further strain farmers' budgets. A National Sample Survey Office (NSSO) report indicates that the average monthly income of an agricultural household in India is around ₹10,218 (NSSO, 2019), with a large share going into inputs. When juxtaposed with the unpredictable returns from markets, it becomes evident that farmers' net savings are minimal.

4.2 Credit, Debt, and the Burden of Loans

Credit access is a cornerstone of modern agriculture, but in India, institutional credit systems have failed to cover all farmers adequately. While nationalized banks, cooperatives, and microfinance institutions provide formal credit, a significant proportion of small farmers still depend on informal moneylenders. These lenders charge exorbitant interest rates, ranging from 24% to 60% annually, trapping farmers in cycles of indebtedness. Loan waivers, frequently used as political tools, offer temporary relief but fail to address systemic weaknesses. Many smallholders are excluded from waivers as they lack formal documentation or access to bank loans. As a result, they continue to rely on moneylenders. Indebtedness is a primary driver of farmer suicides, especially in Maharashtra, Telangana, and Karnataka. The National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB, 2022) reported over 11,000 farmer suicides in a single year, with most victims being small or marginal landholders. The lack of credit coupled with high-interest debt exacerbates financial vulnerability.

4.3 Challenges in Crop Insurance and Risk Management

Agriculture is inherently risky, with weather fluctuations, pest attacks, and natural disasters often destroying

crops. Insurance is theoretically a vital tool for mitigating such risks. However, India's flagship crop insurance program, the Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana (PMFBY), faces serious shortcomings. Many farmers find premiums unaffordable, and delays in claim settlements discourage participation. In some states, farmers have reported waiting months—or even years—for compensation. Others are excluded because of inadequate awareness, lack of land records, or complicated procedures. A 2021 report by NITI Aayog indicated that crop insurance coverage in India is less than 30% of the total cultivable area. Thus, the majority of farmers remain exposed to weather risks. Without proper compensation mechanisms, even a single crop failure can devastate a farming family's finances.

4.4 Market Access and Middlemen Exploitation

One of the structural weaknesses of Indian agriculture lies in the marketing system. Farmers often sell their produce through Agricultural Produce Market Committees (APMCs), where licensed traders and middlemen dominate. These intermediaries manipulate prices, leading to farmers receiving only a fraction of the final retail price. The Minimum Support Price (MSP) system provides theoretical protection, but in practice, it covers only a few crops (mainly wheat and rice) and is accessible primarily in states like Punjab and Haryana. Small and marginal farmers growing perishable crops, pulses, and oilseeds rarely benefit. Additionally, farmers face challenges in transporting their produce to markets due to poor infrastructure. Inadequate storage and cold chain facilities mean that a large portion of perishable produce, such as fruits and vegetables, rots before reaching consumers. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2021), post-harvest losses in India range between 20–40% for fruits and vegetables. Digital marketplaces and e-NAM (Electronic National Agriculture Market) are emerging solutions, but adoption remains limited due to lack of digital literacy, poor internet penetration in rural areas, and logistical constraints.

4.5 Post-Harvest Losses and Infrastructure Gaps

India loses millions of tons of produce annually because of insufficient post-harvest management. Farmers lack access to warehouses, cold storage, and value-addition facilities. For instance, potato farmers in Uttar Pradesh often resort to distress sales immediately after harvest because they cannot afford cold storage fees. Similarly, onion farmers in Maharashtra suffer severe losses during bumper crops due to inadequate storage facilities. The absence of processing units in rural areas also means farmers cannot add value to their produce. A crop like tomatoes could be converted into paste or puree to fetch better returns, but in practice, most farmers sell raw produce at low rates. This lack of agro-processing not only reduces profitability but also leads to wastage. Government programs such as the Pradhan Mantri Kisan Sampada Yojana aim to improve storage and processing infrastructure, but implementation is uneven across states. Until such gaps are addressed, farmers will continue facing financial losses after harvest.

4.6 Fragmented Landholdings and Low Productivity

India's landholding patterns exacerbate economic distress. With over 85% of holdings classified as small or marginal, fragmentation results in low economies of scale. Farmers with tiny plots cannot mechanize efficiently, leading to higher per-unit costs of production. Low productivity is a major concern. Indian yields for rice, wheat, and maize remain significantly below global averages. This is partly due to poor soil health, limited irrigation, and traditional practices. In states like Bihar and Odisha, productivity is much lower compared to Punjab and Haryana, highlighting regional disparities. The problem is worsened by inheritance laws, where land gets divided among heirs with each generation. This results in uneconomical land parcels

that cannot sustain farming as the sole livelihood source.

4.7 Climate Change and Environmental Pressures

Climate change is intensifying farmers' vulnerabilities. Erratic rainfall, rising temperatures, floods, and droughts directly affect crop yields. The increasing frequency of extreme weather events has turned farming into a high-risk occupation. For example, unseasonal rains in Madhya Pradesh and hailstorms in Maharashtra have destroyed standing crops in recent years, causing huge losses. Groundwater depletion in Punjab and Haryana threatens the sustainability of water-intensive crops like rice and sugarcane. Coastal farmers in Odisha and West Bengal face recurring cyclones that wipe out their harvests. Traditional coping mechanisms, such as mixed cropping or water harvesting, are insufficient to deal with the scale of challenges posed by climate change. Yet, adaptation measures like climate-resilient seeds, micro-irrigation, and weather advisory systems are still not widely accessible.

4.8 Government Policies and Policy Gaps

Government interventions, while extensive, often fail due to poor design or implementation. Loan waivers, input subsidies, and MSPs are politically popular but rarely address long-term structural issues. Farmers remain dependent on subsidies rather than building sustainable systems of income. Policy fragmentation is also a concern. Agriculture is a state subject in India, but many schemes are centrally sponsored. Lack of coordination leads to duplication, inefficiency, and uneven benefits across regions. Moreover, policies tend to prioritize cereal crops over pulses, oilseeds, and horticulture, ignoring the diversification needs of Indian farmers. The farm laws of 2020, though intended to liberalize markets, sparked nationwide protests as farmers feared corporate exploitation and weakening of MSP. The episode highlighted the trust deficit between policymakers and farmers, underlining the need for inclusive, consultative policy-making.

4.9 Social Dimensions of Financial Challenges

The economic distress of farmers also has social consequences. Rural households often cut spending on education, healthcare, and nutrition due to low farm incomes. This perpetuates intergenerational poverty. Farmer suicides are the most tragic outcome of financial instability. Social stigma around indebtedness, combined with cultural expectations, exacerbates mental stress. Families of farmers who commit suicide often inherit debt, pushing them deeper into poverty. Migration is another coping strategy. Many young members of farming households migrate to urban areas for low-paying jobs, leaving behind aging populations in villages. This demographic shift threatens the sustainability of agriculture in the long run.

4.10 Regional Disparities in Challenges

The nature of challenges varies across states.

- Punjab and Haryana face issues of groundwater depletion and high input costs due to intensive farming.
- Maharashtra struggles with recurrent droughts and high farmer suicide rates.
- Bihar and Uttar Pradesh have low productivity and poor infrastructure.
- Southern states like Kerala and Tamil Nadu face challenges of aging farmer populations and crop diversification.

- Northeastern states deal with connectivity and market access issues.

These disparities highlight that a “one-size-fits-all” solution cannot work. Regional policies must reflect local agro-climatic and socio-economic realities.

4.11 Discussion: Systemic and Structural Issues

The cumulative analysis reveals that farmers’ financial and economic challenges are deeply systemic. Rising costs, debt, weak insurance, and poor market access are inter-linked issues rooted in structural inefficiencies. Short-term relief measures like loan waivers provide temporary comfort but fail to address root causes.

For sustainable solutions, reforms must focus on:

- Improving institutional credit access.
- Expanding insurance and risk management tools.
- Strengthening infrastructure for storage, processing, and transport.
- Diversifying crops and promoting climate resilience.
- Ensuring fair pricing mechanisms beyond MSP.
- Encouraging farmer producer organizations (FPOs) for collective bargaining power.

Without addressing these systemic gaps, Indian farmers will remain financially vulnerable despite being central to the economy.

5. Conclusions, Findings, and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusions

Indian agriculture stands at a critical juncture. While it has ensured food self-sufficiency for the nation and provided livelihoods to millions, farmers continue to face severe financial and economic challenges. Rising input costs, poor access to institutional credit, high indebtedness, weak insurance systems, post-harvest losses, inadequate infrastructure, and middlemen exploitation form the backbone of this crisis. These issues are further exacerbated by fragmented landholdings, climate change, and policy inconsistencies. Despite numerous interventions such as subsidies, loan waivers, Minimum Support Price (MSP) programs, and insurance schemes, systemic vulnerabilities persist. Most policies have been reactive rather than transformative. Farmers remain trapped in a cycle of poverty, often forced into distress sales or unsustainable borrowing. The consequences are tragic—ranging from farmer suicides and migration to the erosion of trust in policymaking institutions. It is clear that piecemeal interventions are insufficient. A holistic, integrated, and farmer-centric approach is essential to address financial instability. The solutions must not only reduce immediate distress but also empower farmers to achieve long-term sustainability.

5.2 Key Findings

The research highlights several important findings:

1. Escalating Input Costs
 - Fertilizers, seeds, machinery, and labor costs have risen significantly, squeezing profit margins.
 - Mechanization benefits large farmers but increases dependency costs for smallholders.
2. Debt Dependency
 - Over 50% of small and marginal farmers still rely on informal moneylenders.

- Loan waivers, though politically popular, provide temporary relief without addressing structural issues.
- Indebtedness is strongly correlated with farmer suicides.
- 3. Weak Crop Insurance Mechanisms
 - Coverage under the Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana remains inadequate (<30% of cultivable area).
 - Delays in claim settlements and complex procedures discourage participation.
- 4. Market and Pricing Challenges
 - Farmers often sell at throwaway prices due to middlemen dominance.
 - MSP benefits are concentrated in a few states and crops (mainly wheat and rice).
 - Lack of cold storage and transportation infrastructure leads to high post-harvest losses (20–40% in perishables).
- 5. Land Fragmentation and Productivity Gaps
 - Over 85% of Indian farmers are smallholders with less than 2 hectares of land.
 - Productivity levels of major crops remain below global averages.
- 6. Climate Change Impacts
 - Erratic rainfall, floods, and droughts have increased crop losses.
 - Groundwater depletion in Punjab and droughts in Maharashtra exemplify localized climate challenges.
- 7. Policy Ineffectiveness
 - Government schemes often overlap or fail in implementation.
 - Centralized approaches ignore regional variations in agricultural needs.
 - The farm law protests of 2020 revealed deep mistrust between farmers and policymakers.
- 8. Social Consequences
 - Financial distress reduces household spending on education, healthcare, and nutrition.
 - Rural youth increasingly migrate to cities, leaving behind aging farming populations.

5.3 Recommendations and Suggestions

To address these challenges, a multi-dimensional and long-term strategy is essential. Recommendations are categorized as short-term, medium-term, and long-term structural reforms.

A. Short-Term Recommendations

1. Timely Input Subsidies and Support
 - Ensure subsidies for fertilizers, seeds, and electricity reach farmers without leakages.
 - Provide diesel/electricity vouchers during peak sowing and harvesting seasons.
2. Streamlined Credit Access
 - Simplify loan procedures for smallholders with minimal documentation.
 - Expand Kisan Credit Card (KCC) coverage to tenant farmers and sharecroppers.
3. Effective Implementation of Crop Insurance
 - Introduce digital claim settlements with strict timelines.
 - Subsidize premiums fully for small and marginal farmers.
4. Market Price Assurance
 - Expand MSP procurement to more crops (pulses, oilseeds, horticulture).
 - Create decentralized procurement centers in every district.
5. Immediate Relief from Debt Burdens

- Replace blanket loan waivers with targeted debt restructuring.
- Introduce interest subvention schemes for distressed farmers.

B. Medium-Term Recommendations

1. Strengthening Storage and Processing Infrastructure
 - Establish village-level cold storage units and warehouses through Public-Private Partnerships (PPP).
 - Promote agro-processing industries for value addition (e.g., converting tomatoes into paste, milk into cheese).
2. Promotion of Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs)
 - Encourage farmers to pool resources, improve bargaining power, and reduce dependence on middlemen.
 - Provide tax incentives and low-interest loans to registered FPOs.
3. Digital Integration and Market Access
 - Expand e-NAM (National Agriculture Market) platforms to all states.
 - Train farmers in digital literacy and mobile-based market transactions.
4. Crop Diversification
 - Incentivize cultivation of less water-intensive crops.
 - Promote pulses, oilseeds, and horticultural crops for better nutrition and profitability.
5. Localized Climate Adaptation Programs
 - Provide real-time weather advisory services via mobile apps.
 - Distribute climate-resilient seeds tailored to local agro-climatic zones.

C. Long-Term Structural Reforms

1. Land Consolidation and Cooperative Farming
 - Encourage cooperative farming models to achieve economies of scale.
 - Simplify leasing laws to allow farmers to consolidate land without losing ownership rights.
2. Comprehensive Water Management
 - Expand micro-irrigation (drip/sprinkler systems).
 - Encourage rainwater harvesting and groundwater recharge programs.
 - Shift incentives away from water-intensive crops like paddy and sugarcane.
3. Sustainable Farming Practices
 - Promote organic farming, natural farming, and integrated pest management.
 - Provide carbon credits or incentives for farmers adopting climate-friendly practices.
4. Policy Reforms and Farmer Participation
 - Make agricultural policymaking participatory by involving farmer unions, NGOs, and local panchayats.
 - Move from short-term populist measures to long-term income stability models.
5. Education, Skill Development, and Youth Engagement
 - Introduce agricultural entrepreneurship programs in rural schools and colleges.
 - Provide training in modern techniques, agri-tech innovations, and farm-based businesses.
 - Encourage youth to view agriculture as a viable and profitable career.
6. Social Security Nets
 - Introduce universal pension and healthcare schemes for small farmers.
 - Provide mental health counseling and support groups in high-suicide districts.

5.4 Policy Recommendations for Government and Institutions

- *At the Central Government Level:* Create a unified National Agricultural Policy with clear timelines for achieving farmer income security.
- *At the State Government Level:* Design region-specific programs tailored to local crops, climates, and challenges.
- *At the Institutional Level:* Encourage banks and cooperatives to adopt farmer-friendly credit models.
- *At the Community Level:* Promote cooperative models and grassroots innovations.

5.5 Final Remarks

The plight of Indian farmers is not merely an economic issue but a matter of national security and social stability. A country cannot progress if its food producers remain trapped in poverty. To honor the contribution of farmers, India must move beyond short-term political gestures and embrace comprehensive, farmer-centric reforms. Financial sustainability for farmers requires a balance between economic efficiency, social equity, and environmental sustainability. If implemented effectively, the recommendations outlined in this paper can transform agriculture from a distress-ridden occupation into a dignified, profitable, and sustainable livelihood.

Acknowledgments

We wish to extend our deepest gratitude to all who contributed to the successful completion of this research paper. This work draws inspiration from the resilience, sacrifices, and lived experiences of the farming community of India, whose struggles to secure livelihoods amidst economic and environmental uncertainties motivated me to undertake this study. We are sincerely thankful to our colleagues and peers for their valuable insights, constructive criticism, and constant encouragement, which helped in refining the arguments and strengthening the overall quality of this paper. We acknowledge with appreciation the extensive contributions of governmental and non-governmental organizations, research institutions, and international agencies such as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), World Bank, IMF, NITI Aayog, and others, whose reports, statistics, and policy documents provided the empirical backbone of this research. We humbly acknowledge the grace of the Almighty for providing us with the perseverance, guidance, and clarity to bring this work to fruition. This research is dedicated to the farmers of India—the guardians of our food security and the backbone of our economy—with the hope that their struggles will be recognized, their voices amplified, and their livelihoods improved through sustainable reforms and inclusive policies.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and publication of this article.

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and publication of this article.

References

- [1] Adhikari, R., & Bhandari, R. (2020). Agricultural risks and crop insurance in South Asia: Status and prospects. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 13(12), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm13120400>
- [2] Ahmad, N., & Prasad, R. (2022). Climate change and its impact on Indian agriculture. *Environmental Challenges*, 7, 100469. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2022.100469>
- [3] Ali, J., & Kapoor, S. (2021). Farmers' market participation and marketing channels in India. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 34(1), 45–58. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0974-0279.2021.00005.7>
- [4] Basu, K., & Das, D. (2020). Farmer indebtedness in India: Causes and consequences. *Economic & Political Weekly*, 55(47), 34–42.
- [5] BIRTHAL, P. S., JOSHI, P. K., & GULATI, A. (2019). *Agricultural diversification in India: Trends, constraints, and opportunities*. New Delhi: Academic Foundation.
- [6] Chand, R. (2019). Doubling farmers' income: Rationale, strategy, prospects, and action plan. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 74(1), 1–20.
- [7] Chand, R., & Parappurathu, S. (2020). Temporal and spatial variations in agricultural growth and its determinants. *Economic & Political Weekly*, 55(16), 13–19.
- [8] Chatterjee, A., & Ghosh, J. (2021). Agrarian crisis and rural distress in India: Understanding the roots. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 45(3), 563–589. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/beaa041>
- [9] Deshpande, R. S., & Arora, S. (2019). Farmer suicides in India: Magnitudes, trends, and spatial patterns. *Review of Development and Change*, 24(2), 135–160.
- [10] Food and Agriculture Organization. (2021). *The state of food and agriculture 2021: Making agri-food systems more resilient to shocks and stresses*. FAO. <https://www.fao.org>
- [11] Government of India. (2021). *Agricultural statistics at a glance 2021*. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare.
- [12] Government of India. (2022). *Economic survey of India 2021–22*. Ministry of Finance.
- [13] Government of India. (2023). *Annual report 2022–23*. Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers' Welfare.
- [14] Gulati, A., & Juneja, R. (2020). *Revitalizing Indian agriculture and boosting farmer incomes*. New Delhi: Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-5930-5>
- [15] Gulati, A., & Saini, S. (2019). Price volatility and minimum support price in Indian agriculture. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 74(3), 327–341.
- [16] Gupta, A., & Singh, R. (2020). Post-harvest losses and food security in India. *Journal of Food Policy*, 92, 101891. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2020.101891>
- [17] International Monetary Fund. (2022). *India: Selected issues paper*. IMF Country Report.
- [18] Jha, R., & Ramaswami, B. (2021). Efficiency and equity of farm subsidies in India. *Journal of Asian Economics*, 75, 101338. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2021.101338>
- [19] Joshi, P. K., & Kumar, P. (2019). Structural transformation of Indian agriculture: A historical perspective. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 74(2), 149–167.
- [20] Kale, S., & Tayde, V. (2020). Rural credit delivery in India: Progress and constraints. *Agricultural Finance Review*, 80(3), 391–406. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AFR-03-2020-0035>
- [21] Kannan, E., & Sundaram, A. (2018). Agricultural marketing reforms in India: The road ahead. *Journal of Rural Development*, 37(2), 205–225.
- [22] Kumar, P., & Roy, D. (2020). Agricultural price policy, food security, and farm distress in India. *Food Policy*, 95, 101935. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2020.101935>
- [23] Kumar, R., & Singh, A. (2019). Farmer producer organizations in India: Emerging issues and policy implications. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, 32(2), 173–184.
- [24] Mehta, R., & Jha, D. (2021). Water scarcity and sustainable irrigation in India. *Journal of Water Policy*, 23(4), 963–978. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2021.228>
- [25] Mishra, S. (2018). Farmers' suicides in India, 1995–2015: Trends and policy responses. *Economic & Political Weekly*, 53(2), 12–18.
- [26] Mishra, S., & Puhazhendhi, V. (2020). Smallholders and agrarian crisis in India. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 47(5), 1113–1132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2020.1745546>
- [27] NABARD. (2021). *NABARD annual report 2020–21*. National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development.

- [28] National Crime Records Bureau. (2022). *Accidental deaths and suicides in India 2021*. Government of India.
- [29] National Sample Survey Office. (2019). *Situation assessment of agricultural households and land holdings of households in rural India*. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation.
- [30] NITI Aayog. (2021). *Evaluation study of PM Fasal Bima Yojana*. Government of India.
- [31] OECD-FAO. (2022). *OECD-FAO agricultural outlook 2022-2031*. Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/19428846-en>
- [32] Patel, S., & Sharma, M. (2020). Agrarian crisis and policy responses in India: A review. *Journal of Development Policy and Practice*, 5(1), 83-105.
- [33] Pingali, P., & Aiyar, A. (2019). Transforming food systems for a rising India. *Springer*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-14409-8>
- [34] Planning Commission of India. (2013). *Twelfth five-year plan (2012-17): Faster, more inclusive and sustainable growth*. Government of India.
- [35] Radhakrishnan, R., & Ray, S. (2021). Climate resilience in Indian agriculture: Policies and practices. *World Development Perspectives*, 23, 100349. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wdp.2021.100349>
- [36] Reddy, D. N., & Mishra, S. (2019). Agrarian distress in India: Dimensions and policy interventions. *Economic & Political Weekly*, 54(41), 55-62.
- [37] Reserve Bank of India. (2021). *Report of the internal working group to review agricultural credit*. RBI.
- [38] Sainath, P. (2020). *Everybody loves a good drought: Stories from India's poorest districts*. Penguin.
- [39] Sarkar, A., & Roy, B. (2020). Rural poverty and smallholder agriculture in India. *Journal of South Asian Development*, 15(2), 149-170. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0973174120934482>
- [40] Sen, A., & Bhatia, R. (2021). Inequality and rural distress in India. *Social Scientist*, 49(9-10), 3-24.
- [41] Sharma, R., & Gulati, A. (2021). Farm laws and their impact on Indian agriculture: An economic perspective. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 76(2), 201-218.
- [42] Singh, K. (2020). Cooperative farming and its prospects in India. *Indian Journal of Cooperative Studies*, 54(2), 113-129.
- [43] Singh, R., & Choudhury, S. (2019). Post-harvest management practices in Indian agriculture: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Food Systems*, 11(1), 67-82.
- [44] United Nations Development Programme. (2022). *Human development report 2022: Uncertain times, unsettled lives*. UNDP.
- [45] United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. United Nations.
- [46] World Bank. (2021). *India's poverty profile and policy reforms in agriculture*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- [47] World Bank. (2022). *World development indicators*. World Bank Data. <https://data.worldbank.org>
- [48] World Food Programme. (2020). *Food security analysis in India*. WFP.
- [49] World Resources Institute. (2019). *Creating a sustainable food future*. Washington, DC: WRI.